Benefits and challenges of data standardisation in the context of multimodal travel information systems

Kasia Bourée Brussels, Workshop on ITS Directive Priority Action 'A' 4th November 2015



Context: Public Transport standardisation group



Kasia Bourée, KBIC

DG MOVE, 2015 November 4th



Public Transport data standardisation group main goal: to ensure system interoperability

Interoperability specification:

task of building coherent services for users out of components when these components are technically different and managed by different organizations

How to achieve it?

Syntactic interoperability : capability of two or more systems to communicate and exchange data

Tools: specified data formats, communication protocols

- Semantic interoperability ability to automatically accurately interpret the information exchanged between two systems in order to produce useful results as defined by the end users of both systems.
- To achieve semantic interoperability, both sides must refer to a common reference information model.
- The content of the information exchange is unambiguously defined: what is sent is the same as what is understood.





PT1701, Kasia Bourée

Relevant Standards for Multimodal Traveller Information

	Infrastructure	PublicTransport	Traffic Mgt	
Data Exchange Formats	GDF 5.0 →5.1 Exchanges	NeTEx Network Timetables Scheduling Passenger Info Fares Mgt	DATEX II exchanges	
		SIRI Operations Monitoring & control data		
Data Models	GDF road/rail/water Inftrastructure	Transmodel Network Topology IFOPT Stops,Equipment, Navigation Timetables/Scheduling Operations Control Passenger Info / Fares	DATEX : Road events Traffic conditions Weather conditions etc	

Kasia Bourée, KBIC



Transmodel- IFOPT–NeTEx–SIRI differences & similarities: boundary



Kasia Bourée, KBIC

NeTEx – SIRI differences & similarities: scope



Kasia Bourée, KBIC







Benfits of a model-driven approach

Conceptual model is implementation independent (Transmodel)

Multiple physical models for different target implementations may be derived from one conceptual model NeTEx XML Physical design

Implementation is derived from physical model NeTEx XML Schema

THIS APPROACH ENSURES COHERENCE OF INFORMATION



Kasia Bourée, KBIC

Conclusions: are NeTEx/SIRI/Datex sufficient to ensure interoperability in the context of Multimodal Information Systems?

They are certainly useful - some other elements to be taken into account:

- Existence of a common reference data model: it is not sufficient to provide the « Line Ref » (case of SIRI exchanges) if « Line » is something different for the two parties (semantic interoperability)
- It is necessary to harmonise standards where they interact. if information on a road event is provided to a PT system (e.g. through Datex), it has to be « understood » by a Transmodel–based PT system (harmonisation)
- WHAT PART of information is exchanged: only stops/routes? only timetables? or both? stop equipment? stop accessibility features? (definition of exchange profiles)
- Local agreements fix further particularities of the profiles
- In a EU-wide context: global (national?), unambigious, accessible stop repositories (based on a reference model)



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

http:// netex-cen.eu http://transmodel-cen.eu http://kasia.bouree.fr



Transmodel: Reference Data Model for Public Transport

What is it ?

- European Norm specifying the information semantics of several Public Transport functional areas
- 1. Network Topology Definiton: routes, lines, stops (using IFOPT standard), ...
- 2. Timetable Planning: run times, journeys...
- 3. Vehicle Scheduling: vehicle services, ...
- 4. Operations Monitoring and Control: daily production plans, control actions triggers and consequences
- 5.Fare Management: access rights/fare products definition, control, validation, sales
- 6. Passenger Information: general/specific information based on scheduled/or realtime data
- 7. Driver Management: driver schedules, rostering, driving personnel disposition
- 8. Management Information: raw data dedicated to statistics and indicators

Development

1990→1997:Transmodel V4.1.1→ 2001: Transmodel v5.1 (published 2006) Participants

France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Netherlands, UK, Spain, Sweden, EU projects Current status?

Transmodel V6 (update) : Parts 1-2-3: passed public enquiry stage (2015)

Kasia Bourée, KBIC

NeTEx: Network-Timetable-Fare Exchange

What is it? New CEN standard for XML Public Transport data exchange for **Passenger Information** (network- timetables -fares) Approach: Model Driven Design Transmodel UML (model of the structure of data exchange messages) \rightarrow Physical UML (for an XML implementation) -> XML implementation Who were the active participants? Austria, Germany, France, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Sweden, Slovenia, ERÁ/UIC, UK Inputs CEN: Transmodel, IFOPT (stop model) National: VDV 452, TransXChange, NEPTUNE, UIC ++ Deliverables CEN specification document (TS), Part 1: Network, Part 2: Timetables, Part 3: Fares (2014/15) NeTEx XML schema as reusable packages XML Examples National Mapping tables

Kasia Bourée, KBIC

Information Layers Inter-dependence

Information layers

Traffic Management data

Real-time Urban Logistics data (freight &car)

Real-time Public Transport data

Fare data

Timing data

(Service) Network data

Infrastructure data

Examples of data types

road conditions, incidents, traffic lights status, weather conditions, etc

parking (actual) availability, urban area access status

events/incidents/alarms/control actions and consequences on production plans, stop equipment availability, car/bicycle sharing availability, car pooling options, fare control/validation

PT fares, parking fares, car/bicycle sharing/car pooling fare, road tolling, urban access fares

vehicle run times, public timetables, PT services, freight access times

stop places & equipment, car/freight parking, car/cycle sharing/car pooling areas, routes&journey patterns

road network, rail network, points of interest, cartographic data

Information layers are not independent \rightarrow need for common information structure

Kasia Bourée, KBIC





Transmodel –NeTEx– IFM differences and similarities: boundary and scope



Kasia Bourée, KBIC

Transmodel in Lyons



Kasia Bourée, KBIC